

Did The 3rd Circuit Crt. of Appeals Create a Legal Gordian-Knot?
col.writ.8/21 Copyright 2013 Bryant Arroyo

Subsequently, in a 9-0 ruling the U.S. Supreme Crt. reversed the 3rd Circuit decision which granted Lorenzo "Cat" Johnson his freedom and ordered Johnson back to prison summarily denying Johnson's Attorney's request for a rehearing en banc. On review, the same 3rd Circuit Court which freed Johnson voted unanimously against their previous ruling and agreeing with the Supreme Court that Johnson should return to prison. Clearly this was a political decision it begs the question, what would the consequences have been had the 3rd Cir. Crt. defied the Supreme Crt.'s ruling and stuck to their original ruling?. It also brings to question the integrity of the Court for not standing by their ruling. Clearly, they believed that the evidence did not support the conviction initially.

This case brings to mind the case of Lisa M. Lambert. In the Lambert case, she was freed to her lawyers (Tina E. Rainville, Esq., and Peter S. Greenburg, Esq.) following an evidentiary hearing in federal court which revealed 90 instances of prosecutorial misconduct. Similarly, after sparring with the Federal Courts both defendants gained their freedom. Lambert enjoyed 9 months of freedom, she had a job and had began a new life. Johnson had just begun his life of freedom, when, it was all taken from him on a whim by the U.S. Supreme Court, which was clearly pro-prosecution. It is very troubling to see with what ease the Court can take a man or woman's life and return it to a nightmare. The Johnson and Lambert cases are two examples of a Court system that's broken. It is perverse to hold defendant's accountable for failures by their lawyers, as it is the case with our system. As it was the case, in both the Johnson and Lambert, they later enjoyed the benefit of having outstanding Counsel, but, it is usually, the failures of the original Counsel that gives the system the out they need to deny relief--ineffective Assistance of Counsel!

It is difficult, if not impossible, to know what it feels like to fight for freedom, to gain it and after that person starts a new life, to have the system, on a whim, take it all away... Some may wonder how can that happen? First of all, our Country leads the world in incarcerations and it has become a sport to lock poor defendants up. To prosecutors the people they put away are trophies and when they have a Court tell them they must let go of one of those trophies, they take it personal. For example, in the previously mentioned Lambert case, the prosecutor from the Attorney General's office spent over 2.5 million dollars to get her back in prison after being released by a Federal Judge (Stewart J. Dalzell). Once the prison system gets their claws into you they are like a lion and prey. We do not have the financial resources to wage a sustained battle with the government and they know that eventually, they'll be able to file one more brief, one more motion.

